Luton Airport Expansion Views

1. Kings Walden Parish Council want to hear your views!

1.	Whei	e in the Parish of	Kings Walden do you live?		
An	swer	Choices		Response Percent	Response Total
1	Brea	achwood Green		77.22%	61
2	Darl	ey Hall		3.80%	3
3	King	ıs Walden		10.13%	8
4	Ley	Green		1.27%	1
5	War	ndon End		0.00%	0
6	War	ndon Green / Diamond	End	3.80%	3
7	Lye	Hill		2.53%	2
8	Win	ch Hill		0.00%	0
9	Othe	er (please specify):		1.27%	1
				answered	79
				skipped	1
Oth	ner (pl	ease specify): (1)			
	1	10/03/2022 08:38 AM ID: 186674840	Whiteway Bottom		

2. In 2019, almost 18,000 passengers used Luton Airport. How many times did you fly from Luton in 2019?

An	swer Choices	Response Percent	Response Total	
1	Seven or more times		7.59%	6
2	Five to six times		3.80%	3
3	Three to four times		1.27%	1
4	Twice		11.39%	9
5	Once		22.78%	18
6	Not at all		53.16%	42
			answered	79
			skipped	1

3. What were the reasons for your flights Please select all that apply.

An	swer Choices	Response Percent	Response Total
1	Holiday	77.78%	28
2	Visit family	5.56%	2
3	Visit friends	2.78%	1
4	Business	30.56%	11
		answered	36
		skipped	44

4. What do you see as the advantages of living near Luton Airport? Please select all that apply.

าร	swer	Choices			Response Percent	Respons Total
	Eas	y access to holiday flig	jhts		45.59%	31
	Eas	y access to business f	lights		17.65%	12
	Eas _y	y access to flights to fr ily	iends /		11.76%	8
	Emp	oloyment opportunities			19.12%	13
	Acc	ess to community grar	nts		5.88%	4
	Othe	er (please specify):			48.53%	33
					answered	68
					skipped	12
th	er (pl	ease specify): (33)				
	1	09/03/2022 21:14 PM ID: 186659190	I actually do	on't see any upside.		
	2	09/03/2022 21:31 PM ID: 186659251	None			
	3	10/03/2022 08:31 AM ID: 186674112	Frequent tra	ains to london		
	4	10/03/2022 08:49 AM ID: 186675621	I am an airli	ine pilot		
	5	10/03/2022 09:26 AM ID: 186679331	None if livin	g close.		
	6	10/03/2022 11:28 AM ID: 186704177		ticular as it is a dreadful airport compa		
	7	10/03/2022 21:59 PM ID: 186798905	Nothing			
	8	10/03/2022 22:26 PM ID: 186800358	None to the	residents of Breachwood green		
	9	11/03/2022 09:51 AM ID: 186819941	None that I	can think of		
	10	11/03/2022 10:05 AM ID: 186821716	There are n	o advantages for us.		
	11	11/03/2022 10:07 AM ID: 186822016	There are n	o advantages for us.		
	12	11/03/2022 14:18 PM ID: 186851615	None			
	13	12/03/2022 23:01 PM ID: 186928612	None			
	14	13/03/2022 17:33 PM ID: 186953994	Cheaper ho	busing		
	15	13/03/2022 17:34 PM ID: 186954031	I don't see r	much of an advantage at all, in fact it's	a nuisance having or	ne so close
	16	14/03/2022 09:51 AM ID: 186978580	There are n	o advantages we enjoy the peaceful c	countryside	
	17	14/03/2022 12:12 PM ID: 186997058	None at all			
	18	14/03/2022 14:47 PM ID: 187016007	No advanta	ge for retired		
	19	14/03/2022 19:47 PM ID: 187046417	causes dist	ik that there are any advantages. We surbance to sleep and makes it imposs		
			was a lovely	y country village.		

NIVI			ixesuits Sunimary
		ID: 187090033	
4. \ tha	Nha it ap	15/03/2022 15:37 PM ply.ID: 187125046	None, rather use other proper professional airports.
	22	15/03/2022 16:01 PM ID: 187127683	None
	23	15/03/2022 16:51 PM ID: 187133164	None
	24	15/03/2022 17:28 PM ID: 187136536	No advantages
	25	15/03/2022 17:28 PM ID: 187136563	No advantages
	26	18/03/2022 09:07 AM ID: 187355913	I am an airline pilot
	27	20/03/2022 13:46 PM ID: 187472906	I see no advantage to living near to the airport.
	28	22/03/2022 20:06 PM ID: 187694024	Private jet pilot
	29	23/03/2022 15:41 PM ID: 187788478	No advantages.
	30	24/03/2022 06:35 AM ID: 187826072	None
	31	24/03/2022 16:51 PM ID: 187889659	I can think of no advantages for living near Luton Airport
	32	24/03/2022 18:30 PM ID: 187897554	None
	33	24/03/2022 18:33 PM ID: 187897753	None

5. What do you see as the disadvantages of living near Luton Airport? Please select all that apply.

An	swer Choices	Response Percent	Response Total	
1	Traffic congestion		75.95%	60
2	Air pollution		88.61%	70
3	Light pollution		75.95%	60
4	Noise pollution		94.94%	75
5	Other (please specify):		22.78%	18
			answered	79
			skipped	1

3/25/22, 9:52 AM

5. What do you see as the disadvantages of living near Luton Airport? Please select all that apply.

1	09/03/2022 20:52 PM ID: 186658815	Traffic congestion
2	09/03/2022 21:31 PM ID: 186659251	Emissions exacerbating global warming; sleep disturbance; Luton is the most polluted towns in England. Enlarging the airport will make this worse Offsetting is not acceptable. We need to reduce flights
3	10/03/2022 08:38 AM ID: 186674840	Damage to wildlife
4	10/03/2022 08:49 AM ID: 186675621	Depends on where travel is routed to and from but there must be some traffic diversions at Wigmore.
5	10/03/2022 09:26 AM ID: 186679331	Hard to sell our home.
6	10/03/2022 11:28 AM ID: 186704177	Impact on global warming.
7	10/03/2022 22:26 PM ID: 186800358	Air quality, planes pollute the environment
8	12/03/2022 17:28 PM ID: 186917951	Jet wash - aviation fuel smells
9	14/03/2022 10:06 AM ID: 186980453	There's always a possibility of further expansion, and one day being able to encroach the existing boundary.
10	14/03/2022 18:57 PM ID: 187043006	None. If somebody lives near an airport they should expect air noise. If they drive non-electric car they are releasing air pollution themselves.
11	14/03/2022 19:47 PM ID: 187046417	The fact that Luton Airport do not listen to any objections and do not care at all about children and adults suffering the disturbances caused by them.
		How is it morally right that Luton Council gives themselves permission to expand the airport!
12	15/03/2022 15:37 PM ID: 187125046	Safety.
13	15/03/2022 16:01 PM ID: 187127683	We have worked hard to buy our house hoping to be able to leave it to our childre Who will want to buy it when all these plans are completed. We already get disturbed sleep and get woken all hours. Totally disgusted with the plans. Receive a letter from the airport today stating they would give us insulation .We do not war it. What about us being able to enjoy our garden. We want the airport to give us the full asking price so we can move.
14	15/03/2022 17:46 PM ID: 187137904	We dont get much of the issues in Mill Way, we are of a certain age and employment is essential but also managing the issues raised, we cant keep complaining.
15	15/03/2022 21:44 PM ID: 187153120	Consistent breaches of planning controls
16	20/03/2022 09:38 AM ID: 187463677	It seems that the planes are taking off from what used to be the taxiing runway which intern has caused the noise levels to increase how can I find this out . I hav asked but no reply's.
17	20/03/2022 20:17 PM ID: 187485822	Contribution to global warming and climate change
18	22/03/2022 22:27 PM ID: 187701697	losing green belt to the airport expansion

6. If you saw light pollution as a disadvantage in Q5, how does light from the airport disturb you? Please select all that apply.

An	swer	Choices									ponse rcent	Respons Total
1	Dist	turbed sleep								40	.32%	25
2	Dist	turbance of wildlife								70	.97%	44
3	Obscuring the view of the night sky]	75	.81%	47					
4	Oth	er (please specify):								12	.90%	8
										ans	wered	62
										ski	pped	18
Oth	ner (p	lease specify): (8)										
	1	09/03/2022 21:02 PM ID: 186659342	Lights all to	o brigh								
	2	10/03/2022 08:38 AM ID: 186674840	It is current	itly at a	idiculou	ıs level						
	3	12/03/2022 13:01 PM ID: 186906287	No									
	4	14/03/2022 19:47 PM ID: 187046417	Oxford Roa	ad, Bre	chwood	d Gree	n					
	5	18/03/2022 09:23 AM ID: 187357661	Plant a fore	est or s	mething	g, was'	'nt that t	he ic	dea some	ebody sha	ared???	?
	6	18/03/2022 18:47 PM ID: 187412230	Eyesore on	n the la	idscape	9						
	7	20/03/2022 09:38 AM ID: 187463677	Lighting up	o my ro	m at nig	ght						
	8	22/03/2022 22:27 PM ID: 187701697	Interferes w	with be	utiful su	unsets						

7. If you saw noise pollution as a disadvantage in Q5, how does noise from the airport disturb you? Please select all that apply.

٩n	swer	Choices			Response Percent	Respons Total
1	Nois	se disturbs my sleep			86.67%	65
2		se makes it difficult for r conversations indoor			44.00%	33
3		se makes it difficult for r conversations outdoo			84.00%	63
1		se makes it difficult to l or radio	near the		49.33%	37
5		se makes concentratio en studying / working	n difficult		48.00%	36
3	Oth	er (please specify):			24.00%	18
					answered	75
					skipped	5
Oth	er (pl	ease specify): (18)				
	1	08/03/2022 15:07 PM ID: 186523899	Its not just a	about Noise! Light pollution		
	2	09/03/2022 21:31 PM ID: 186659251		indoors are not constant. As I have a hearing plane is passing , I always have to stop co		when
	3	09/03/2022 21:32 PM ID: 186660667	The house	vibrates		
	4	09/03/2022 22:05 PM ID: 186661359	The noise is be distraction	s currently intermittent but if it increased it wng.	ould be incessa	ınt and woเ
	5	10/03/2022 08:31 AM ID: 186674112	Working at with increas	home is the new norm. Noise pollution will be sed flights.	oe even more of	an issue
	6	10/03/2022 08:49 AM ID: 186675621	The patio d	oors rattle when the DHL plane is engine fir	ing on Winch Hi	II.
	7	10/03/2022 09:26 AM ID: 186679331	Huge disru	ption of sleep		
	8	10/03/2022 11:05 AM ID: 186696528	background	of the planes taking off and landing is bad er d noise is awful, the second terminal will brir ve a dreadful affect on our quality of life.		
	9	10/03/2022 11:28 AM ID: 186704177	Noise espe	cially between 10-11pm and around 6am		
	10	10/03/2022 22:26 PM ID: 186800358	Phone conv	versations have to be put on hold , while the	planes fly overl	head
	11	11/03/2022 10:05 AM ID: 186821716	Noise outsi	de when walking is dangerous as you can't	hear the traffic.	
	12	11/03/2022 10:07 AM ID: 186822016	Noise outsi	de when walking is dangerous as you can't	hear the traffic.	
	13	14/03/2022 18:57 PM ID: 187043006		on occasion. Again, if you live near a hospi made that choice and you choose to live wi		
	14	14/03/2022 19:47 PM ID: 187046417	It degrades	the quality of life inside and outside in our v	village gardens.	
	15	14/03/2022 20:29 PM ID: 187049111	I don't enjo	y time in my garden or in the surrounding co is horrible	ountryside and th	ne smell of
	16	18/03/2022 18:47 PM ID: 187412230	Difficult to h	nold telephone calls outside!		
	17	22/03/2022 22:27 PM ID: 187701697		nakes it impossible to have a conversation of a dircraft are taking off	outside, one has	s to stop
	18	23/03/2022 11:52 AM ID: 187741773		rom the aircraft disturbs wildlife. Increasing phts will exacerbate the extent of noise pollu		nd operatin

8. How do you feel about Luton Rising taking over Wigmore Park for the expansion of the airport and moving the park into North Hertfordshire?

we	r Choices		Percent	Total
Op	en-Ended Question		100.00%	71
1	08/03/2022 15:07 PM ID: 186523899	I am indifferent, has benefits and disadvantages to it as stakeholders to mitigate issues.	long as airport	work with
2	09/03/2022 20:51 PM ID: 186658799	I think it's inevitable		
3	09/03/2022 21:02 PM ID: 186659342	Ok		
4	09/03/2022 21:06 PM ID: 186659562	I'm against it, the loss of wildlife etc shouldn't be allowe	d to happen.	
5	09/03/2022 21:14 PM ID: 186659190	I'm very against it in every way.		
6	09/03/2022 21:23 PM ID: 186660281	Expansion is completely unnecessary		
7	09/03/2022 21:24 PM ID: 186660261	If they are going expand, and do this effectively and list things right,I don't have an issue. More wildlife protection		and make
8	09/03/2022 21:31 PM ID: 186659251	It is appalling- Wigmore Park is a mature park, any reployer to reach the same maturity. It is an important green spa many people. It is one of Luton's largest parks, and sho the dump- the land will be toxic.	ce and is used	daily by ve
9	09/03/2022 21:32 PM ID: 186660667	We are of a certain age and not impacted by the develogood for enjoyment and surely it's redevelopment mean		parks are
10	09/03/2022 21:38 PM ID: 186660944	This is a disgrace to take back a well used and establis the community.	hed public gree	n space fr
11	09/03/2022 22:05 PM ID: 186661359	It would appear to be unnecessary when there is other keep the park and move the airport requirements onto t well established for flora and fauna unlike the new land.	he new land. Ti	Why not ne park is
12	09/03/2022 22:49 PM ID: 186662974	Wigmore Park is a well established multi use green spa trees plants and wildlife habitats. The park is used regu needs to be protected as a community asset in a heavil	larly by many p y populated are	eople and a of Luton
		The park is on the site of a decades old open tip and ar any number of environmental issues.	ny development	could cau
		The area around the park is mostly residential and incluincrease in traffic both during any development and after congestion and extra noise and pollution to the surround	er would cause	
		Luton airport has a lot of under used areas inside its pe industrial units and large open car parks so any future of should and could be made be within its own boundaries	levelopment of	
		Luton as a whole has lots of underused industrial and o need for a new 'Business Park'.	ffice areas so tl	nere is no
13	10/03/2022 07:45 AM ID: 186671100	This will create serious noise issues for those in Wigmonsuch as Breachwood. It is not only the planes over the reground equipment that also makes much noise. Although night the airport is a 24 hour operation and all you can be noise. This will increase with Wigmore Park disappearing terminal	roof that cause gh the plans are near in the villag	noise it is to less in the ge is white
14	10/03/2022 08:31 AM ID: 186674112	Since the pandemic it is apparent that outside spaces a communities is even more important than financial gain park will mean those with less accessibility to transport green open spaces.	. The impact of	moving the
15	10/03/2022 08:38 AM ID: 186674840	No green space should be lost. Just not necessary		
16	10/03/2022 08:49 AM ID: 186675621	The area needs redevelopment. Some parts of the airpie the indiustrial units. The Park needs to be upgraded, new forest and new area to be enjoyed is a good thing,	sounds like a g	ood thing
		1	answered	71

ID: 186683315 mean the loss of another open space.			biodiversity and proper walks routes. The landscaping is of understand there are issues with lights? I believe develop people need to be consulted. The roads are not suitable for transport and needs addressing. I wonder how many people in the village have flown from complain about it, bit of double standards! Don't live here	oment is progre for access nor l Luton but dare	ess but heavy
10: 186679622 19 10/03/2022 09:56 AM I am against this proposal. It will have an adverse effect on flora and fauna an mean the loss of another open space. 20 10/03/2022 11:05 AM ID: 186696528 21 10/03/2022 11:28 AM Rather the airport didn't expand and stayed as is. ID: 186704177 22 10/03/2022 12:28 PM ID: 186800358 23 11/03/2022 22:26 PM ID: 186800358 24 11/03/2022 09:51 AM ID: 1868019941 25 11/03/2022 09:51 AM ID: 1868019941 26 11/03/2022 09:51 AM ID: 186821716 26 11/03/2022 10:05 AM ID: 186821716 27 11/03/2022 10:05 AM ID: 186821716 28 11/03/2022 10:07 AM ID: 1868221716 29 12/03/2022 12:54 PM ID: 186905965 20 11/03/2022 12:54 PM ID: 186905965 20 11/03/2022 12:54 PM ID: 186905965 21 12/03/2022 12:54 PM ID: 186905965 22 12/03/2022 13:01 PM ID: 186905965 23 12/03/2022 12:54 PM ID: 186905965 24 12/03/2022 17:28 PM ID: 186905965 25 12/03/2022 17:28 PM ID: 186905965 26 12/03/2022 17:28 PM ID: 186905965 27 12/03/2022 17:28 PM ID: 186905965 28 12/03/2022 17:28 PM ID: 186905965 29 12/03/2022 17:28 PM ID: 186905965 30 12/03/2022 17:28 PM ID: 186905965 30 12/03/2022 17:28 PM ID: 186905965 31 12/03/2022 17:28 PM ID: 186905965 32 12/03/2022 17:28 PM ID: 186905965 33 13/03/2022 17:38 PM ID: 186905981 34 12/03/2022 17:38 PM ID: 186905984 35 13/03/2022 17:38 PM ID: 186905984 36 13/03/2022 17:38 PM ID: 186905984 37 12/03/2022 17:38 PM ID: 186905984 38 13/03/2022 17:38 PM ID: 186905984 39 12/03/2022 17:38 PM ID: 186905984 30 12/03/2022 17:38 PM ID: 186905984 31 12/03/2022 17:38 PM ID: 186905984 31 12/03/2022 17:38 PM ID: 186905984 32 13/03/2022 17:38 PM ID: 186905984 33 13/03/2022 17:38 PM ID: 186905984 34 ID: 186905984 35 13/03/2022 17:38 PM ID: 186905984 36 13/03/2022 17:38 PM ID: 186905984 37 12/03/2022 17:38 PM ID: 186905984 38 12/03/2022 17:39 PM ID: 186905984 39 12/03/2022 17:39 PM ID: 186905984 30 12/03/2022 17:39 PM ID: 186905984 30 12/03/2022 17:39 PM ID: 186905984 31 12/03/2022 17:39 PM ID: 186905984 32 13/03/2022 17:39 PM ID: 186905984 33 13/03/2022 17:39 PM ID: 186905984 34 13/03/2022 17:39 PM ID: 186905984 35 13/	17		Very sad to take away locals park		
ID- 18688315 mean file loss of another open space.	18		Totally not necessary!!		
ID: 186896528	19			n flora and fau	ına and
10: 186704177 10: 18680358 10: 186800358 10: 186800358 11: 10: 18680358 11: 10: 18680358 11: 10: 18680358 11: 10: 186819941 12: 186819941 13: 186821914 14: 10: 186819941 15: 186821716 16: 1868221716 16: 1868221716 17: 1868221716 18: 11: 1868221716 18: 11: 1868221716 18: 11: 1868221716 18: 11: 1868221716 18: 11: 1868221716 18: 11: 1868221716 18: 11: 1868221716 18: 11: 1868221716 18: 11: 1868221716 18: 18: 18: 18: 18: 18: 18: 18: 18: 18:	20		up habitats will be destroyed. Luton Rising do not care one jot for anything other than he The chances of them actually creating a suitable green sp	oping to make	
and should not encroach into north Herts, which could be used as a lever in fut to expand the airport ever further 10: 186819941 11: 186819941 12: 11/03/2022 10:05 AM ID: 186821716 13: 11/03/2022 10:05 AM ID: 186821716 14: 11/03/2022 10:05 AM ID: 186821716 15: 186822016 16: 186822016 17: 186822016 18: 18: 18: 18: 18: 18: 18: 18: 18: 18:	21		Rather the airport didn't expand and stayed as is.		
the line the park could be relocated again and the Hertfordshire land built on for benefit of the airport. They probably believe that we will be delighted to have another park in Hertfordshire. Wigmore Park is for Luton residence and has nothing to do with north Herts are moving it to Herts will cause problems with traffic and people walking on narro roads. Wigmore Park is for Luton residence and has nothing to do with north Herts are moving it to Herts will cause problems with traffic and people walking on narro roads. Terrible. We must stop this. The noise will be damaging. Terrible. We must stop this. The noise will be damaging. Terrible. We must stop this. The noise will be damaging. Seems once rhey cross the border then rhey may be able to progress the airpexpansion further and other projects may come in which Herts may find difficult oppose. They will have their foot in the door Not at all happy with this. The new park will have no new trees planted. It will look like a white elephant. In 186907801 12/03/2022 17:28 PM ID: 186917951 I completely object for the benefits from the airport [income] will not benefit the Hertfordshire population and instead will bring more nuisances nearer to what already an area already highly affected by the airport with no returns nor benefice be received from its operation. In particular the lack of restraint shown by LLAt regarding night flights is a major issue for those affected. Any areaement lift mabsolutely MUST include restrictions on flying times. If aircraft movements on aprons are brought closer then noise nuisance increases also. 12/03/2022 16:56 PM ID: 186952564 I am strongly against the erosion of wildlife-rich, agricultural land for this project The loss of the bio-diverse habitat in Wigmore Park will be an enormous loss of the bio-diverse habitat in Wigmore Park will be an enormous loss of the bio-diverse habitat in Wigmore Park will be an enormous loss of the bio-diverse habitat in Wigmore Park will be an enormous loss of the bio-diverse habitat	22		and should not encroach into north Herts, which could be to expand		
ID: 186821716	23		the line the park could be relocated again and the Hertford benefit of the airport. They probably believe that we will be	dshire land bui	ilt on fo
moving it to Herts will cause problems with traffic and people walking on narro roads. 11/03/2022 14:18 PM ID: 186851615 27 12/03/2022 12:54 PM ID: 186905965 Seems once rhey cross the border then rhey may be able to progress the airput expansion further and other projects may come in which Herts may find difficult oppose. They will have their foot in the door 28 12/03/2022 13:01 PM ID: 186906287 29 12/03/2022 13:48 PM ID: 186908185 30 12/03/2022 17:28 PM ID: 186917951 Hertfordshire population and instead will bring more nuisances nearer to what already an area already highly affected by the airport with no returns nor benefication and instead will bring more nuisances nearer to what already an area already highly affected by the airport with no returns nor benefication and instead will bring more nuisances nearer to what already an area already highly affected by the airport with no returns nor benefication and instead will bring more nuisances nearer to what already an area already highly affected by the airport with no returns nor benefication and instead will bring more nuisances nearer to what already an area already highly affected by the airport with no returns nor benefication and instead will bring more nuisances nearer to what already an area already highly affected by the airport with no returns nor benefication and instead will bring more nuisances nearer to what already an area already highly affected by the airport with no returns nor benefications on flying times. If aircraft movements on aprons are brought closer then noise nuisance increases also. 31 12/03/2022 23:01 PM ID: 186928612	24		moving it to Herts will cause problems with traffic and peo	do with north H pple walking or	erts ar narro
ID: 186851615 Seems once rhey cross the border then rhey may be able to progress the airpress oppose. They will have their foot in the door	25		moving it to Herts will cause problems with traffic and peo	do with north Hople walking or	erts ar ı narro
28 12/03/2022 13:01 PM ID: 18690585 Expansion further and other projects may come in which Herts may find difficul oppose. They will have their foot in the door 28 12/03/2022 13:01 PM ID: 186906287 The new park will have no new trees planted. It will look like a white elephant. 29 12/03/2022 13:48 PM ID: 186917951 I completely object for the benefits from the airport [income] will not benefit the Hertfordshire population and instead will bring more nuisances nearer to what already an area already highly affected by the airport with no returns nor benefits regarding night flights is a major issue for those affected. Any agreement [if mashoclutely MUST include restrictions on flying times. If aircraft movements on aprons are brought closer then noise nuisance increases also. 31 12/03/2022 23:01 PM ID: 186928612 Very concerned this is an irresponsible use of a loved green space affecting children, walkers and wildlife. 32 13/03/2022 16:56 PM ID: 186952564 I am strongly against the erosion of wildlife-rich, agricultural land for this project The loss of the bio-diverse habitat in Wigmore Park will be an enormous loss of Luton residents and clearly demonstrates the priorities of business over environment held by Luton Rising. 33 13/03/2022 17:33 PM ID: 186953994 The importance of outdoor space is well documented: 1. Fresh air and exercise is paramount, and the park is near housing that has smaller or no gardens, making it even more important. If as a nation we have a obesity crisis we should not be removing open spaces. 2. Removing green spaces has an impact on air quality. Having fewer plants around to soak up carbon dioxide and release oxygen obviously makes the environment worse.	26		Terrible. We must stop this. The noise will be damaging.		
12/03/2022 17:28 PM ID: 186917951 I completely object for the benefits from the airport [income] will not benefit the Hertfordshire population and instead will bring more nuisances nearer to what already an area already highly affected by the airport with no returns nor benefit per garding night flights is a major issue for those affected. Any agreement [if may absolutely MUST include restrictions on flying times. If aircraft movements on aprons are brought closer then noise nuisance increases also. 12/03/2022 23:01 PM ID: 186928612 Very concerned this is an irresponsible use of a loved green space affecting children, walkers and wildlife. 132 13/03/2022 16:56 PM ID: 186952564 I am strongly against the erosion of wildlife-rich, agricultural land for this project The loss of the bio-diverse habitat in Wigmore Park will be an enormous loss for Luton residents and clearly demonstrates the priorities of business over environment held by Luton Rising. 133 13/03/2022 17:33 PM ID: 186953994 The importance of outdoor space is well documented: 1. Fresh air and exercise is paramount, and the park is near housing that has smaller or no gardens, making it even more important. If as a nation we have a obesity crisis we should not be removing open spaces. 2. Removing green spaces has an impact on air quality. Having fewer plants around to soak up carbon dioxide and release oxygen obviously makes the environment worse.	27		expansion further and other projects may come in which I	to progress the Herts may find	ne airpo difficul
12/03/2022 17:28 PM ID: 186917951 12/03/2022 23:01 PM ID: 186928612 12/03/2022 16:56 PM ID: 186952564 13/03/2022 17:33 PM ID: 186953994 15/03/2022 17:33 PM ID: 186953994 16/03/2022 17:33 PM ID: 186953994 17/03/2022 17:33 PM ID: 186953994 18/03/2022 17:39	28		Not at all happy with this.		
Hertfordshire population and instead will bring more nuisances nearer to what already an area already highly affected by the airport with no returns nor benefibe received from its operation. In particular the lack of restraint shown by LLAL regarding night flights is a major issue for those affected. Any agreement [if ma absolutely MUST include restrictions on flying times. If aircraft movements on aprons are brought closer then noise nuisance increases also. 12/03/2022 23:01 PM ID: 186928612	29		The new park will have no new trees planted. It will look li	ike a white elep	phant.
ID: 186928612 children, walkers and wildlife. 1 am strongly against the erosion of wildlife-rich, agricultural land for this project. The loss of the bio-diverse habitat in Wigmore Park will be an enormous loss for Luton residents and clearly demonstrates the priorities of business over environment held by Luton Rising. 13/03/2022 17:33 PM ID: 186953994 The importance of outdoor space is well documented: 1. Fresh air and exercise is paramount, and the park is near housing that has smaller or no gardens, making it even more important. If as a nation we have a obesity crisis we should not be removing open spaces. 2. Removing green spaces has an impact on air quality. Having fewer plants around to soak up carbon dioxide and release oxygen obviously makes the environment worse.	30		Hertfordshire population and instead will bring more nuisa already an area already highly affected by the airport with be received from its operation. In particular the lack of res regarding night flights is a major issue for those affected absolutely MUST include restrictions on flying times. If air	ances nearer to n no returns no straint shown b Any agreemer craft movemer	o what r benet by LLAL nt [if ma
ID: 186952564 The loss of the bio-diverse habitat in Wigmore Park will be an enormous loss of Luton residents and clearly demonstrates the priorities of business over environment held by Luton Rising. The importance of outdoor space is well documented: 1. Fresh air and exercise is paramount, and the park is near housing that has smaller or no gardens, making it even more important. If as a nation we have a obesity crisis we should not be removing open spaces. 2. Removing green spaces has an impact on air quality. Having fewer plants around to soak up carbon dioxide and release oxygen obviously makes the environment worse.	31			en space affec	cting
1. Fresh air and exercise is paramount, and the park is near housing that has smaller or no gardens, making it even more important. If as a nation we have a obesity crisis we should not be removing open spaces. 2. Removing green spaces has an impact on air quality. Having fewer plants around to soak up carbon dioxide and release oxygen obviously makes the environment worse.	32		The loss of the bio-diverse habitat in Wigmore Park will be Luton residents and clearly demonstrates the priorities of	e an enormous	s loss f
answered 7	33		Fresh air and exercise is paramount, and the park is no smaller or no gardens, making it even more important. If a obesity crisis we should not be removing open spaces. Removing green spaces has an impact on air quality. I around to soak up carbon dioxide and release oxygen obs	as a nation we Having fewer p	have a
		-			

34	13/03/2022 17:34 PM ID: 186954031	It's disgraceful! for a start North Hertfordshire has nothing to do with Luton which is in Bedfordshire.We do not need more Airports let alone expanding ones we have, we're supposed to be reducing our carbon foot print. Alsllo	g the
		small island we need to hang on desparately to our green spaces, local pecan't have a quiet ,peaceful walk around a concrete carpark!	ople
35	14/03/2022 09:26 AM ID: 186975526	North Herts gets no benefit from the airport so why should they give up lar allow it to expand.	nd to
36	14/03/2022 09:51 AM ID: 186978580	I hate the idea. The countryside is precious we should be planting trees rexpanding airports if we want to meet emissions targets	ot
37	14/03/2022 10:06 AM ID: 186980453	I feel it should be avoided at all costs and Luton Rising should not be allow use land from another county.	ved
38	14/03/2022 14:47 PM ID: 187016007	Which authority will be responsible for upkeep and litter, rubbish control. Few residents in N Herts will make use of the park.	
39	14/03/2022 18:57 PM ID: 187043006	It disappoints me to know Wigmore Park may be replaced. Trees and soil needed to soak up carbon. A park for kids, dog walkers, skaters and sport	
		I would be intrigued to know exactly how much of Wigmore Park would be over.	tak
40	14/03/2022 19:31 PM ID: 187045400	It is not in-line with government policies relating to global warming and the move to renewable transport and energy sources	nee
41	14/03/2022 19:47 PM ID: 187046417	I believe it to be totally wrong and unnecessary.	
42	14/03/2022 20:29 PM ID: 187049111	Desperately sad. Having lived in wigmore and Breachwood green, I cannowly this airport has been picked for expansion in such a built up area. The park is going to be difficult for everyone to access and Wigmore Park is a important part of the Wigmore development.	e ne
43	15/03/2022 10:02 AM ID: 187078749	We live in Hertfordshire why should it be in Herts it is used by Luton popul They reap the monetary rewards we do not .we only get the disadvantage boundary between Breachwood Green and Luton seems to be getting eve closer.the airport has purchased two more fields close to the village . Also surveyors have been seen in fields near Darley??.We will soon be taken cluton.	s. T r
44	15/03/2022 11:29 AM ID: 187090033	I am totally against the proposed expansion of Luton Airport.	
		I am appalled that Luton Borough Council is taking action in North Hertford doing so they directly deny my democratic right to vote for Councillors who represent my opinions.	dshi ca
45	15/03/2022 15:37 PM ID: 187125046	Unnecessary, expanding like Putin.	
46	15/03/2022 16:01 PM ID: 187127683	Disgusting. What about the people who live there.	
47	15/03/2022 16:51 PM ID: 187133164	Totally against.	
48	15/03/2022 17:28 PM ID: 187136536	LR should not be allowed to proceed with this proposal	
49	15/03/2022 17:28 PM ID: 187136563	LR should not be allowed to proceed with this proposal	
50	15/03/2022 17:36 PM ID: 187137132	Wigmore Park was set up as a local amenity, and should be retained as st	uch
51	15/03/2022 17:46 PM ID: 187137904	We think and improvements are a good thing, I dont know who that chap is pub but preaching doom and gloom is not evidence but pomp and ceremo People need jobs and they need stability, its hard enough these days tryin balance life and thinking of Ukraine, what about British people and British	ny. g to
52	15/03/2022 21:44 PM ID: 187153120	Totally opposed	
53	16/03/2022 17:08 PM ID: 187229671	I feel that they should not use recreational playing fields or rural farmland expansion of the airport	for t
54	18/03/2022 09:07 AM	I dont feel anthing to be fair, the park is a jungle and needs proper mainter	nano

18/03/2022 09:23 AM ID: 187357661		ID: 187355913	especially Winch Hill side, full of brambles and rubble. Any new park will be of great benefit to the area, don't un all in this area.	derstand the m	oanei
ID: 187358079 WE ARE NOT!!!!!!! WE DONT USE THE PARK!!!!!! YOU MEAN A HELL HOLE!!!!!	55		to understand our concerns. I'm sure somebody is and ta measure up issues raised? The Consultation talks about are doing to help with Insulation schemes, purchasing ho that not a good thing? Noise will be noise no more than li Think the traffic and congestion with these big artics hurtl vibrating my cottage is the biggest threat but nobody doe complain about noise, what did the Council do about allow set-up here? Causing many issues of noise, squeaking gown house in order! We have a dirty garage, a mess of a warehouse on Bailer	Iking about how a lot of things Luses and lands ving next to a loing through this sanything abouwing these induates, pollution.	v to .uton .capin oud po s villaç ut it!!! .stries Get y
18/03/2022 17:52 PM ID: 187409357 I am very much against this. The airport does not need to develop or remain profitable or stable. I see this expansion as nothing but for grunning it, with very little care or consideration for those it will actually Wigmore Park is a community asset that should remain so. 20/03/2022 09:38 AM ID: 187463677 ID: 187463677 Should not be approved. Surely the obvious thing to do is to get rid and redevelop leaving our precious green areas well alone. 20/03/2022 13:46 PM ID: 187477296 I oppose to Luton Rising taking over any land for the purpos expansion. The airport is big enough and adequately serves the neer region. The airport is big enough and adequately serves the neer existing noise and light concerns, it damages areas of natural wildlife fundamentally reverses sustainable objectives by reducing greenbelt to create opportunity for additional air traffic with its resultant increase The airport is important to the local area, however in order for the So meet an increased target capacity, I feel that other airports are better expansion.	56				
remain profitable or stable. I see this expansion as nothing but for grunning it, with very little care or consideration for those it will actually Wigmore Park is a community asset that should remain so. 58 20/03/2022 13:46 PM ID: 187463677 59 20/03/2022 13:46 PM ID: 187477127 Fiercely opposed to Luton Rising taking over any land for the purpos expansion. The airport is big enough and adequately serves the neer region. 60 20/03/2022 15:55 PM ID: 187477127 Fiercely opposed to Luton Rising taking over any land for the purpos expansion. The airport is big enough and adequately serves the neer region. 61 20/03/2022 15:55 PM ID: 187477127 Fiercely opposed to Luton Rising taking over any land for the purpos expansion. The airport is big enough and adequately serves the neer region. 62 20/03/2022 20:17 PM ID: 187485822 Mapalled. We need to retain as much productive by reducing greenbelt to create opportunity for additional air traffic with its resultant increases. The airport is important to the local area, however in order for the Someet an increased target capacity, I feel that other airports are better expansion. 62 20/03/2022 20:17 PM ID: 187485822 Mapalled. We need to retain as much productive agricultural land as maintain food production for future generations. The current horror in Ukraine will reduce world cereal supply in the short term and climate adversely affect sustainable food production worldwide in the future. There is a perfectly good park now, which should not be destroyed in Luton Council to try to recoup the huge debts they have incurred. 62 21/03/2022 17:10 PM ID: 187566242 Depending on how the park move changes the habitat and usability determine how big a problem it is. 63 22/03/2022 20:06 PM ID: 1877694024 My should Luton use our land in North Herts, let them stick to their backen by the park by the documentation in the feel unfairly highlights areas to appear as green open spaces when i proposed site is surrounded by car park and a fuel depot. 64 23/03/2022 15:05 PM ID: 187788			WE DONT USE THE PARK!!!!!! YOU MEAN A HELL HOI	LE!!!!!	
ID: 187463677 and redevelop leaving our precious green areas well alone .	57		remain profitable or stable. I see this expansion as nothin running it, with very little care or consideration for those it	ig but for greed will actually eff	of the
expansion. The airport is big enough and adequately serves the neer region. 1 20/03/2022 15:55 PM ID: 187477127 ID: 187485822 ID: 187485823 I	58		Should not be approved . Surely the obvious thing to do is and redevelop leaving our precious green areas well alon	s to get rid of V	auxha
proposed plans do not adequately address traffic congestion concernexisting noise and light concerns, it damages areas of natural wildlife fundamentally reverses sustainable objectives by reducing greenbelt to create opportunity for additional air traffic with its resultant increase. The airport is important to the local area, however in order for the Someet an increased target capacity, I feel that other airports are better expansion. Appalled. We need to retain as much productive agricultural land as maintain food production for future generations. The current horror hukraine will reduce world cereal supply in the short term and climate adversely affect sustainable food production worldwide in the future. There is a perfectly good park now, which should not be destroyed in Luton Council to try to recoup the huge debts they have incurred. I only use the park occasionally but when I do it is a very enjoyable propending on how the park move changes the habitat and usability of determine how big a problem it is. Employment is what makes people, having bigger parl is great news ID: 187701697 Why should Luton use our land in North Herts, let them stick to their bad enough that they think they can build thousands of houses for Luton North Herts land!!! I feel strongly opposed to the moving of the park and the increased on North Herts land!!! I feel strongly opposed to the moving of the park and the increased on North Herts land!!! I feel strongly opposed to the moving of the park and the increased on North Herts land!!! Appalled 23/03/2022 15:05 PM ID: 187783413 A total unnecessary destruction of a rural area & wildlife habitats. Ho relocate habitats that have taken years to develop & thrive? The need for expansion needs to be reviewed, with the current publis	59		expansion. The airport is big enough and adequately serv		
maintain food production for future generations. The current horror huraine will reduce world cereal supply in the short term and climate adversely affect sustainable food production worldwide in the future. There is a perfectly good park now, which should not be destroyed in Luton Council to try to recoup the huge debts they have incurred. 10 21/03/2022 17:10 PM ID: 187566242 ID long use the park occasionally but when I do it is a very enjoyable properties of the park move changes the habitat and usability of determine how big a problem it is. 11 22/03/2022 20:06 PM ID: 187694024 ID: 187694024 ID: 187701697	60		proposed plans do not adequately address traffic conges existing noise and light concerns, it damages areas of na fundamentally reverses sustainable objectives by reducin to create opportunity for additional air traffic with its result. The airport is important to the local area, however in orde meet an increased target capacity, I feel that other airport.	tion concerns, valued wildlife and greenbelt land tant increase in for the South	will ac d d in c pollu East
Depending on how the park move changes the habitat and usability of determine how big a problem it is. Employment is what makes people, having bigger parl is great news part in the park	61		maintain food production for future generations. The curre Ukraine will reduce world cereal supply in the short term adversely affect sustainable food production worldwide in There is a perfectly good park now, which should not be determined to the contraction of the contraction	ent horror happ and climate cha the future. destroyed in the	ening ange v
ID: 187694024 64 22/03/2022 22:27 PM ID: 187701697 65 23/03/2022 11:52 AM ID: 187741773 66 23/03/2022 15:05 PM ID: 187783413 67 23/03/2022 15:41 PM ID: 187788478 68 Appalled 69 23/03/2022 15:41 PM ID: 187788478 60 23/03/2022 15:41 PM ID: 187788478	62		Depending on how the park move changes the habitat an		
bad enough that they think they can build thousands of houses for Lucon North Herts land!!! 1 I feel strongly opposed to the moving of the park and the increased of associated with the movement of the park. The documentation in the feel unfairly highlights areas to appear as green open spaces when i proposed site is surrounded by car park and a fuel depot. 23/03/2022 15:05 PM ID: 187783413 A total unnecessary destruction of a rural area & wildlife habitats. How relocate habitats that have taken years to develop & thrive? The need for expansion needs to be reviewed, with the current publis	63		Employment is what makes people, having bigger parl is	great news	
associated with the movement of the park. The documentation in the feel unfairly highlights areas to appear as green open spaces when i proposed site is surrounded by car park and a fuel depot. 66 23/03/2022 15:05 PM ID: 187783413 67 23/03/2022 15:41 PM ID: 187788478 A total unnecessary destruction of a rural area & wildlife habitats. Ho relocate habitats that have taken years to develop & thrive? The need for expansion needs to be reviewed, with the current publis	64		bad enough that they think they can build thousands of he		
ID: 187783413 67 23/03/2022 15:41 PM ID: 187788478 A total unnecessary destruction of a rural area & wildlife habitats. Ho relocate habitats that have taken years to develop & thrive? The need for expansion needs to be reviewed, with the current publis	65		associated with the movement of the park. The document feel unfairly highlights areas to appear as green open spa	tation in the cor aces when in fa	nsulta
ID: 187788478 relocate habitats that have taken years to develop & thrive? The need for expansion needs to be reviewed, with the current publis	66		Appalled		
these figures & with costs increasing how they can justify the increas for 21.5 million passengers per annum (mppa) by 2027, 27mppa by 32mppa by 2043?	67		relocate habitats that have taken years to develop & thriv The need for expansion needs to be reviewed, with the coof passengers using the airport in Feb 2022, (611,000) I at these figures & with costs increasing how they can justify for 21.5 million passengers per annum (mppa) by 2027, 2	e? urrent published am not sure how the increase &	d num v bas the r
					_

	9	Luton Rising taking over Wigmore Park for park into North Hertfordshire?	or the expar	ision of
68	24/03/2022 16:41 PM ID: 187888832	They should provide their park facilities within their own of	county	
69	24/03/2022 16:51 PM ID: 187889659	I think this is a disaster.		
70	24/03/2022 18:30 PM ID: 187897554	Appalled and disgraced		
71	24/03/2022 18:33 PM ID: 187897753	I think that they should have their own parks in their own	county	
			answered	71
			skipped	9

9. How do you feel about Luton Airport's plans for phased growth to 21.5 million passengers per annum (mppa) by 2027, 27mppa by 2039 and 32mppa by 2043?

An	swer Choices		Response Percent	Response Total
1	Strongly support		2.53%	2
2	Support		5.06%	4
3	Neither support nor oppose		8.86%	7
4	Oppose		5.06%	4
5	Strongly oppose		81.01%	64
			answered	79
			skipped	1

10. What are your reasons for your level of support?

-	en-Ended Question		400 000	_	
			100.00%	6	
1	08/03/2022 15:07 PM ID: 186523899	Employement			
2	09/03/2022 20:52 PM ID: 186658815	Traffic Noise Night disturbance			
3	09/03/2022 21:06 PM ID: 186659562	·	don't believe that following covid there is the need for an airport expansion. The expansion will be detrimental to the local area. The negatives outweigh the positives.		
4	09/03/2022 21:14 PM ID: 186659190	Noise pollution, air pollution, digging up a landfill, devalu increased traffic, the fact that in 2019 Luton did not adhe many occasions, the current world financial state does sexpansion. So many more people will be struggling to pathat the airport expansion should not be considered until green as they promise. I don't believe this promise and the accountable for the rules they break now. I don't think Lucapability to take this on.	ere to noise res support this plan ay their bills. I f I Luton airport I they aren't held	triction nned irmly become	
5	09/03/2022 21:24 PM ID: 186660261	I work there, my wife works there too.			
6	09/03/2022 21:31 PM ID: 186659251	Global warming is the most critical issue facing the world decreased, not increased by more flights. Noise, air and problem. Every airport in the U.K. is aiming to expand leading to rather is no evidence of need. Stanstead has agreement unnecessary for Luton.	light pollution and more	are a b polluti	
7	09/03/2022 21:32 PM ID: 186660667	Jobs			
8	09/03/2022 21:38 PM ID: 186660944	I am opposed due to the following: Increased noise and habitats. Loss of green space. Destruction of farmland. I into Hertfordshire. Increased traffic. Increased carbon er	Encroachment		
9	09/03/2022 22:05 PM ID: 186661359	I do NOT believe that the suggested level of expansion in disadvantage of increased road congestion, air and noise of wildlife and flora far outweighs any economic benefits	e pollution and		
10	10/03/2022 07:45 AM ID: 186671100	This is not sustainable travel. In a world where we are a footprint the airport wish to increase theirs I would say that there is no demand but the airport are to	J		
		In reality it was a flying club back in the day on the edge trying to make it too big to be safe or a good neighbour	of a town, now	v they a	
11	10/03/2022 08:38 AM ID: 186674840	Just not required			
12	10/03/2022 08:49 AM ID: 186675621	Employment, development and regeneration of some pla	aces that need	updati	
13	10/03/2022 09:26 AM ID: 186679331	Extremely worried how we will cope and all the hard wor our home.	k we have put	into ke	
14	10/03/2022 09:30 AM ID: 186679622	Global warming being the main reason. In the pandemic, the air was a lot fresher, didn't smell oi If the increase is successful there will be more traffic arc congested area.	ly und an already	/ very	
15	10/03/2022 09:56 AM ID: 186683315	The infrastructure cannot support the expansion.			
16	10/03/2022 11:05 AM ID: 186696528	Luton is a tiny airport and is not suitable for the expansion other larger airports are far better equipped. We should all be looking at taking less flights if we are to			
			answered	6	

		Also Luton Rising is massively in debt already, how do they expect to pay for a work, the maths simply does not add up. To me the whole scheme seems ludicrous		
17	10/03/2022 11:28 AM ID: 186704177	Enough is Enough! Luton Rising equals rising noise pollution, rising air pollutio rising traffic congestion, rising stress, rising contribution to global warming and rising dependence of local economy on dominant industry sector instead of diversification. Luton Town already has one of the worst levels of air pollution in the UK. The airport operator's record idls one if breaching consistently noise and pollution li especially with night flights. Luton Council is addicted to the airport cash flow a expense of the well being of the local community.		
18	10/03/2022 22:26 PM ID: 186800358	The airport is already to large for its existing site and air travel should be discouraged bearing in mind global warming and the damage it causes to the environment		
19	11/03/2022 09:51 AM ID: 186819941	I don't believe it's possible to achieve this on their current site and therefore Hertfordshire land will be required.		
20	ID: 186851615 Environment impact			
21	12/03/2022 13:01 PM ID: 186906287	Not really bothered how many flights.		
22	12/03/2022 17:28 PM ID: 186917951	I understand the world moves on however I cannot see how, with climate chan issues ever more threatening, that Luton Rising see profit and use from an expansion. Instead they should be making sure the aircraft and operations are more energy efficient, less polluting and streamline the business accordingly. It addition the disregard for LLAL's neighbours in that unrestricting night flights at ignoring noise level critiques above permitted values will mean even more nuis caused and nearer to us.		
23	12/03/2022 23:01 PM ID: 186928612	Concern about: Noise pollution. Traffic congestion in rural lanes. Pollution from traffic on the ground and in the air. Traffic using this village for parking when going on holiday Yes, this is alrea an issue. Increase in number of commercial vehicles travelling through the village. Speeding through the 30mph limits. Mental health problems caused by disturbed sleep.		
24	13/03/2022 16:56 PM ID: 186952564	There is no adequate infrastructure in place to increase numbers of passenger Local roads, already compromised by the 'rat runs' of commuting traffic, will undoubtedly become busier as passengers seek to avoid the local congestion through Luton. Crucially, these drivers are unlikely to be familiar with how to drithrough narrow lanes and drive inappropriately. At a time when we have learned, through Covid, how to avoid much of the busicommuting formerly carried out, we should be looking to increase the ability to avoid unnecessary business journeys by air altogether. With a global climate catastrophe ensuing, we need to consider whether our ar 'two weeks in the sun' is something that is sustainable. Surely better to promot train journeys and holidaying in the UK to increase tourist revenue and support local businesses. In a time of everyone needing to 'do their bit' to prevent a potential extinction e within a couple of generations, it is poor taste indeed for Luton Rising to be everyons deep the considering this.		
25	13/03/2022 17:33 PM ID: 186953994	Poorer air quality and all other environmental issues.		
26	13/03/2022 17:34 PM ID: 186954031	As I said previously, we're supposed to be reducing our carbon foot print, we do not need more airports nor do we need to expand the ones we have. To me it's about Luton council wanting more money, and putting money before the health welfare of the people who live in the area.		
27	14/03/2022 09:26 AM ID: 186975526	The roads etc around here are congested already without additional traffic		
28	14/03/2022 09:51 AM ID: 186978580	As stated above it is the wrong move for our planet and it will make life in our lovillage hellish. We can already smell the fumes from the jet fuel without the		

		additional flights!		
29	14/03/2022 10:06 AM ID: 186980453	I'm very much into saving the environment and a campai expansion totally contradicts my beliefs.	gner for net ze	ro. The
30	14/03/2022 14:47 PM ID: 187016007	Pollution Climate change Cost of living and fuel increases, will there be that many Working from home is here to stay so there shoild be no numbers. Infrastructure will need to change leading to destruction of tryside.	increase in bus	siness
31	14/03/2022 18:57 PM ID: 187043006	Whilst I am extremely eco friendly at home and at work, I appreciate the importance of business growth and develor opportunities. Some may call it greed and unnecessary, I building flats, retail shops and other buildings in what see How many new buildings are insulated correctly. I've taken my time to really focus on the importance of tree	opment of job but the whole c ems like all gre	ountry en are
		carbon so the frustration would be to see trees and soil go I understand living in a beautiful village; noise and air pol interruption but it's a choice of where you live. If one lives should expect to hear a fire engine. It's a sacrifice made. understand the need to limit the pollution where possible believe the Airport does not need to expand for these rea globally friendly what's the issue. It takes time with all of	jone. Ilution is a mas s near a fire sta We can't have and why many asons. If planes	sive ation o e it all.
		But I also believe those who are against the airport expandant in global warming issues. How many people vote for there is more to a political parties than global warming but currently are fuel costs and energy costs. Both which affects	the green part ut 2 of the bigge	ty. Yes est iss
		How many people have electric cars, cycle or walk. How holiday via plane and don't plant a tree to cover their cart people minimise their food waste. How many people swit not in use. How many people are vegan. How many peoplastic. How many people recycle correctly. How many pend shop local. People are quick to moan about their sur away but honestly can they say they are truly playing the	bon footprint. He tch off plug soc ple avoid buyin eople support l rounding area	low makets was item to the temporal tem to the tem to t
32	14/03/2022 19:31 PM ID: 187045400	I oppose due to the increase in pollution and environmen should be spent on greener transport	ıtal impact. Inve	estme
33	14/03/2022 19:47 PM ID: 187046417	I do not support it.		
34	14/03/2022 20:29 PM ID: 187049111	It is going to impact on my quality of life, my health, the value of my property that of everyone living in the surrounding area.		
35	15/03/2022 09:30 AM ID: 187074511	With global warming I strongly oppose airport expansion. It will mean more traffic, more noise, more air pollution, n which we need in these climate changing times. Living in close proximity to the airport, The noise levels w already noisy area, especially in the summer months. As the tv up or stop talking till the plane has gone over.	nore light pollut vill be up some	what t
36	15/03/2022 10:02 AM ID: 187078749	That amount of passengers and flights would blight our vievel. During COVID it was idilic, true village life quiet and the airport has done nothing about airport noise. That wo will only get worse.	l restful nights.l	In the
37	15/03/2022 11:29 AM ID: 187090033	Climate change - such a proposal makes a mockery or climate change. Flying is artificially cheap (with no taxatic international flights), and is expected to consume one quain the next 30 years according to the United Nations, unlead environment and make some changes accordingly.	on on jet fuel, o arter of the car	or VAT bon b
		Local residents are already subjected to excessive noi airport, which has consistently breached limits placed up		m the
		3. The requirement for 6,000,000m3 of earthworks clearl unsuitability of the site for expansion. If such immense re required, then it is not an appropriate site.		
		4. There is plenty of spare capacity for flights in the Southere is now plenty of spare capacity at Luton Airport. Ad Gatwick and Stanstead and there can be no requirement	ld to this Heath	row,
		I Galwick and Stanstead and mere can be no recomement		_

		5. The road network is unable to cope with the current legincrease in volume, and certainly with the amounts that expression unsustainable. Stanstead Airport is clearly much better spassenger numbers because the infrastructure was one not the last.	expansion wou uited to increa	ıld bring sed
		6. It is a great concern that the proposed new terminal wipoint where it significantly damages the views of the surr This will also mean unacceptable levels of light pollution with the current structure.	ounding area.	
		7. I am totally against the enforced damage to biodiversit create. I am appalled to understand that a District Wildlife and not one but 2 County Wildlife Sites. This amounts to	e Site would be	
38	15/03/2022 15:37 PM ID: 187125046	Very bad for environment, excessive noise, no infrastruct that their one runway can operate efficiently and safely.	ture to support	t, conce
39	15/03/2022 16:01 PM ID: 187127683	Can not live in our home if the noise and pollution levels	raise to propos	sed leve
40	15/03/2022 16:51 PM ID: 187133164	Unnecessary. Other airports can meet increased capacity	y without need	I to expa
41	15/03/2022 17:28 PM ID: 187136536	There is no justification for LR's proposals. Their proposed predictions for increased passenger num It is preposterous that Luton Council should even conside to fund a disastrous project such as the airport and then (our taxes) to pay for social needs of the borough resider By their own admission LR admit that they cannot staff the borough of Luton and they will have to bring in people this means more housing will be needed adding to an ex With Government policy to level up the north and south on further development of any kind in Luton.	er borrowing mexpect the govents. The proposed chese from outside isting shortage	nore movernment hanges the are proble
42	15/03/2022 17:28 PM ID: 187136563	There is no justification for LR's proposals. Their proposed predictions for increased passenger numbers are flawed. It is preposterous that Luton Council should even consider borrowing mor to fund a disastrous project such as the airport and then expect the gover (our taxes) to pay for social needs of the borough residents. By their own admission LR admit that they cannot staff the proposed char the borough of Luton and they will have to bring in people from outside the this means more housing will be needed adding to an existing shortage p With Government policy to level up the north and south of England there is no further development of any kind in Luton.		
43	15/03/2022 17:36 PM ID: 187137132	Noise, Not sure the increase in numbers will bring many quality With the worries about climate change, we should not be easier until the planes are available to reduce the damag hydrogen ones. As the air pollution and noise pollution he The airport has always promised mitigation and has alwadoing them	making air tra ge they cause, as increased d	e.g. ba Iramatio
44	15/03/2022 17:46 PM ID: 187137904	Employment takes people out of poverty, educated peop a light bulb flashes in front of them. Once we are all equa about issues like cars speeding or noise. Don't live here	al then we can	compla
45	15/03/2022 21:44 PM ID: 187153120	Airport has reached capacity given the constraints impos close proximity to large populations, poor east west trans progress in introducing quieter aircraft and continued ope	sport connection	ons, limi
46	16/03/2022 17:08 PM ID: 187229671	Would not really want the airport to get any busier annoy is in fact would appreciate a reduction in traffic		
47	18/03/2022 09:07 AM ID: 187355913	Employment is what keeps humans alive, complaining at elitist past-time hobby of those 'people who don't need to think people without work are poorer and depressed abo next to an international airport, get over it, move!	work 7 days a	a week,
48	18/03/2022 09:23 AM ID: 187357661	I am for a clean, quiet village no more than my neighbour more trees and forests to help with pollution, I saw some more trees from North Herts, why are we not doing more our way of life? I am not swayed either way because I chose to live here, inevitable. We should embrace change and be part of the	where a schen to help willdife , expansion is	ne to pi e and p growth

		something which does not help change. Lots of people h	ave a whinge k	nut whe
		comes to it nobody really cares because the people in chat do get forgotten about because somebody screams	narge are not, a	nd the
49	18/03/2022 09:27 AM ID: 187358079	ALL THESE PEOPLE WHO ARE COMPLAINING ON FA	CEBOOK>>>>	• GET A
		GOD, WHAT A TIME SPENT COMPLAINING BUT YOU AIRPORT!!!!!!!!!! DRIVE FAST CARS AND ARE JUST H		ΙE
50	18/03/2022 17:52 PM ID: 187409357	This will have a massive impact on my right to live without pollution.	ıt noise, light ar	nd air
		I purchased my home 16 years ago knowing the airport vnever knowing or believing such a vast expansion would		
51	20/03/2022 13:46 PM ID: 187472906	As I mentioned above the airport as it is adequately serve and does not need to expand. The only reason I can see increase profits for commercial and private interests.		
52	20/03/2022 15:55 PM ID: 187477127	We feel that the increase in passengers would cause a s increased noise pollution, traffic inconvenience, air qualit greenbelt.		
53	20/03/2022 20:17 PM ID: 187485822	We are on the brink of a globally disastrous change to our clima that Luton Council are considering adding to global warming threflights. They should be reducing carbon production and curtailing numbers.		reased
54	22/03/2022 20:06 PM ID: 187694024	Jobs		
55	22/03/2022 22:27 PM ID: 187701697	I strongly oppose the expansion of Luton Airport, especially as it wants to u land. It will cause a lovely summers day, impossible to enjoy. The noise is constant, not to mention the fuel deposits, which cannot be healthy, especiathere is a playgroup by the village hall, immediately under the flight path, he unhealthy can that be for our toddlers. Any increase in flights can only be detrimental to the children's health and indeed their hearing. The Breachwood JMI school will find teaching increasingly difficult because noise, not to mention the children's ability to concentrate.		
56	23/03/2022 11:52 AM ID: 187741773	It feels like once again North Hertfordshire is experiencin of the airport, flight paths, light and noise pollution, devel Luton Town council, and Bedfordshire reaps the rewards improvements and monetary gains due to the increase in Lilley Bottom Road which runs through the parish is incremight argue dangerously so for a single track road. The Proposed development by Luton Rising only highlights depoints at the junctions in the immediate vicinity of the Airp	opment of gree of infrastructure of lights. easingly conges A505 to Hitchin evelopment of t	nbelt; we e sted, so is the pinc
57	23/03/2022 15:05 PM ID: 187783413	Noise Traffic CO2 emissions Airports should be built where needed ie West and Midla	nds. Anywhere	but her
58	23/03/2022 15:41 PM ID: 187788478	Airport has capacity with the existing infrastructure to inc	rease passenge	er numb
		The local road systems can not cope with the volume of increase in passenger numbers. The proposals put forward changes are not sufficient enough & do not include traffic get to the airport & the affect this has on local villages & of the DART seems to be the answer given by the consuconsolation evenings?	ard for the road c cutting across the roads. The i	system country introduc
59	24/03/2022 16:41 PM ID: 187888832	It will devastate the local area for residents wildlife and p	ants.	
60	24/03/2022 16:51 PM ID: 187889659	No support		
61	24/03/2022 18:30 PM ID: 187897554	The disruption that it causes		
62	24/03/2022 18:33 PM ID: 187897753	The planes fly over many houses and cause a major dist	urbance	
			answered	62

11. Do you have any other comments on the subjects raised in this survey?

swe	r Choices		Response Percent	Respons Total			
Op	en-Ended Question		100.00%	37			
1	08/03/2022 15:07 PM ID: 186523899	More screening off					
2	09/03/2022 21:14 PM ID: 186659190	It's a very bad plan.	s a very bad plan.				
3	09/03/2022 21:23 PM ID: 186660281	LLA is owned by Luton Borough Council. Clear conflict of motivated by need to plug debt of the council.	A is owned by Luton Borough Council. Clear conflict of interest. Expansion otivated by need to plug debt of the council.				
4	09/03/2022 21:24 PM ID: 186660261	know lots of things are happening, but why live in a village buying a house then oaning about it! People should base their emotions on facts and not idle gossip.					
5	09/03/2022 21:31 PM ID: 186659251	Previous flight numbers and noise levels have exceeded Alleviation proposals to counteract noise and pollution a thinking, not evidence. The airport/Council cannot be true Flights have dropped massively so expansion is unnece Luton flights occurred during Covid. Luton BC has been massively in debt, yet cutting services to vulnerable chil people- it is disgraceful.	re based on fut sted on the pro ssary. 750 gho funding the air	ture wishfu mises mad st ie empty port while			
		Compensation/double glazing or other measures were p (Windows could not be opened). The Mill Way/Darley Reeligible. Irrespective of this being agreed I am against Al	oad årea would	l not be			
6	09/03/2022 21:32 PM ID: 186660667 I don't understand why whoever is asking for views seems really whats going to happen will eventually happen. Is it not better to cause issues with job opportunities?						
7	09/03/2022 22:05 PM ID: 186661359	Thanks for preparing this survey.					
8	10/03/2022 07:45 AM ID: 186671100	off setting CO2e is a cop out the airport have little idea on reducing them votes to show that this is a commercial plan to make money over the envirous planes less omissions, Simple really no need to plant a tree in Burmaton't increase the omissions in luton					
9	10/03/2022 08:38 AM ID: 186674840	No need to expand this airport					
10	10/03/2022 08:49 AM ID: 186675621	None.					
11	10/03/2022 09:26 AM ID: 186679331	We feel we have no choice in the matter. Its all about groups government and airport. What about the people who have for their home and our hope of leaving to it to our children	∕e worked hard				
12	10/03/2022 11:05 AM ID: 186696528	Even now Luton airport has no consideration for the live unnecessary night flights are being allowed which ruin the living within earshot, in the summer months every single Why is Luton allowed to do this when other airports have Lutons lack of care, this will clearly only get worse if the	ne quality of life night flight wa e restrictions? I	for those kes us up.			
13	10/03/2022 11:28 AM ID: 186704177	No					
14	10/03/2022 22:26 PM ID: 186800358	STOP This unnecessary expansion NOW					
15	11/03/2022 09:51 AM ID: 186819941	A comment I would like to make (which wasn't covered of airport parking. Quite rightly noise and pollution are the inhowever, I don't believe that 45% of passengers will comparking but landowners could sell their land to private parking but landowners could sell their land to private parking but landowners could sell their land to private parking but landowners could sell their land to private parking two would have no control over. Breachwood Green in it's car parking issues that concern me as much as noise with someone at the exhibition and mentioned that the rare now residents parking I'm not sure if this has exter it will only be a matter of time before airport users find the there (it has happened here already). Apparently I don't the village has to do is put up signs saying No Parking be and 4pm and 5pm. Lovely, we'll have the village littered interesting (but probably understandable) that no one are exhibition lived anywhere near this area. When I got back	main concerns e to the airport port doesn't sup arking compani s surrounded be e and pollution. coads around Va inded to Wigmo ne villages and need to be woi etween 10am with signs! It w aswering questi	people have by public poort 'off si es which the			
		The state of the s	answered	37			

_		results outlinary		
. Do	you have any oth	er comments on the subjects raised in this	survey?	
		had been a waste of my time but it was useful as it's con make plans to move away sooner than we might have.	firmed that we	need to
16	3 12/03/2022 12:54 PM ID: 186905965			
17		I certainly do. LBC/LLAL are some £640M in debt and ar additional loans. The Dart scheme is [I believe] some £1 cannot see how the airport debt and its likely expansion in the long term, especially when Easy Jet now utilise Ga Wizz Air seek to do so also. Gatwick has capacity that wexpansion is not a financial proposition.	8M over budge cost offer a bus atwick as their l	et as I write siness pla hub and
18	3 13/03/2022 17:34 PM ID: 186954031	We must do everything we can to stop this indiotic and ir and health risking proposition.	nconsiderate, a	ir poluting
19	9 14/03/2022 14:47 PM ID: 187016007	Money spent on this project would be better spent on 3n authority for education , welfare and health. This would be caused by the pandemic.		
20	14/03/2022 18:57 PM ID: 187043006	If the Airport is committing to be more environmentally fri any expansion than with the promise of more jobs this is		
		One has to ask themselves if they have had an extension land and even more so question what the land the house before it was there. Likely to be green land in most cases	they live on n	
		I am strongly against damage to the earth but cannot act their part but moan about others as it affects them.	cept people wh	o don't pla
		I didn't see this much uproar about the spinnie being cor	verted to hous	es.
		The Airport currently rarely has departing flights past mid flights past midnight/1am. It tends to be the DHL flights in but an airport needs delivery of goods.		
		My guess would be that people who don't want our area vehicle congestion must be against all new houses/flats support of Brexit to avoid more people living in this count selfish (understandable somewhat) if people only cared a	being built and try. It would be	fully in extremely
21	1 14/03/2022 19:31 PM ID: 187045400	Luton airport appears to be self governed and despite th hold little hope that our views/concerns will be heard.	e public consul	Itations I
22	2 14/03/2022 19:47 PM ID: 187046417	For years I and many others have objected to the expansion continues to grow and cause more disturbance to its neigon increased air travel and ignores the global commitme emissions, then airports should be located in isolated are speed electrically powered trains to minimise polution an Airport has already outgrown its location, it is definitely n further expansion. It needs to be smaller, quieter and generated to the smaller of the expansion.	ghbours. If the nt to reducing (eas accessed but disturbance. ot a suitable lo	UK insists CO2 by high Luton cation for
		I believe that air travel should be discouraged until aircra developed to a level where it does not cause disturbance emit CO2. possibly electric propulsion powered from fuel aircraft but the associated increased road transport and supposed to be moving towards a cleaner environment. retrograde proposal, Luton Airport should be striving to re	e to others and I cells. It is not its emissions. \ Further expans	it ceases only the th We are sion is a
23	14/03/2022 20:29 PM ID: 187049111	I feel strongly that the way that Luton Airport has been a development plans have been pushed through is immora impartial. This should not have been allowed to get this f	al and most cer	
24	15/03/2022 15:37 PM ID: 187125046	Lack trust in the way Luton Airport conducts itself. Feel very uneasy that Luton Coucil own the airport. Concerned that the airport owes Luton Council £500,000 suffer as Luton Council plough money into the airport no		
25	5 15/03/2022 17:28 PM ID: 187136536	I urge King's Walden Parish Council to make an extreme further development at Luton Airport in order to protect the responsible. The residents do not deserve to suffer the number of the been created by Luton Airport and the proposals that LR totally erode the countryside and tranquillity of our area of proud in former years.	ne area for whi nisery that has are putting for	ch they ar already ward will
26	15/03/2022 17:28 PM ID: 187136563	I urge King's Walden Parish Council to make an extreme further development at Luton Airport in order to protect the responsible. The residents do not deserve to suffer the national been created by Luton Airport and the proposals that LR	he area for whi nisery that has	ch they ar already
			answered	37

3/25/22, 9:52 AM

Lotally erode the countryside and tranquillity of our area of which we were very proud in former years.	
proud in former years. 15/03/2022 17:46 PM ID: 187137904 18/03/2022 09:07 AM ID: 187355913 18/03/2022 09:23 AM ID: 187357661 18/03/2022 09:23 AM ID: 187357661 ID: 187358079 ID: 187	
1D: 187137904 28	ery
ID: 187355913 money where your mouth is and stop the nonsense, work with each other insof complaining, its depressing and becomes apathetic. 18/03/2022 09:23 AM ID: 187357661 ID: 1873	
monitoring, what are the airport actually doing that is wrong? What is right? I knows. People fly and people use this local airport because its convenient at sure we all have. Are you actually going to stop expansion objecting because are going to be heard? No, I don't think so, does the Parish have a legal chat on its hands? No, I would like my council tax spent on local issues not issues cannot afford. 30	out y istea
31 20/03/2022 13:46 PM ID: 187472906 32 20/03/2022 15:55 PM ID: 187477127 33 21/03/2022 17:10 PM ID: 187566242 We are fed up with the noise disturbance particularly in the summer from the at the moment so what it would be like in the future is particularly worrying. We have looked into taking out equity release on our house and 2 different companies have turned it down because of the plans for airport expansion, suspect house prices will suffer. 34 22/03/2022 20:06 PM ID: 187694024 People who complain also decided to live next to the noise, complaining about something which existed is double standards but noise must be managed. 35 22/03/2022 22:27 PM We live on Green Belt and our farmers are trying to make the area more Native Companies and Companies are trying to make the area more Native Companies are trying to make the area more Native Companies are trying to make the area more Native Companies are trying to make the area more Native Companies are trying to make the area more Native Companies are trying to make the area more Native Companies are trying to make the area more Native Companies are trying to make the area more Native Companies are trying to make the area more Native Companies are trying to make the area more Native Companies are trying to make the area more Native Companies are trying to make the area more Native Companies are trying to make the area more Native Companies are trying to make the area more Native Companies area trying to make the area more Native Companies are trying to make the area more Native Companies are trying to make the area more Native Companies are trying to make the area more Native Companies are trying to make the area more Native Companies are trying to make the area more Native Companies are trying to make the area more Native Companies are trying to make the area more Native Companies are trying to make the area more Native Companies are trying to make the area more Native Companies area trying to make the area more Native Companies are trying to make the area	Nob and I se yo allen
ID: 187472906 32 20/03/2022 15:55 PM ID: 187477127 33 21/03/2022 17:10 PM ID: 187566242 We are fed up with the noise disturbance particularly in the summer from the at the moment so what it would be like in the future is particularly worrying. We have looked into taking out equity release on our house and 2 different companies have turned it down because of the plans for airport expansion, suspect house prices will suffer. 34 22/03/2022 20:06 PM ID: 187694024 People who complain also decided to live next to the noise, complaining about something which existed is double standards but noise must be managed. 35 22/03/2022 22:27 PM We live on Green Belt and our farmers are trying to make the area more Native Companies and the summer from the at the moment so what it would be like in the future is particularly in the summer from the at the moment so what it would be like in the future is particularly worrying. We have looked into taking out equity release on our house and 2 different companies have turned it down because of the plans for airport expansion, suspect house prices will suffer.	
33 21/03/2022 17:10 PM ID: 187566242 We are fed up with the noise disturbance particularly in the summer from the at the moment so what it would be like in the future is particularly worrying. We have looked into taking out equity release on our house and 2 different companies have turned it down because of the plans for airport expansion, suspect house prices will suffer. 34 22/03/2022 20:06 PM People who complain also decided to live next to the noise, complaining abort something which existed is double standards but noise must be managed. 35 22/03/2022 22:27 PM We live on Green Belt and our farmers are trying to make the area more Nat	
at the moment so what it would be like in the future is particularly worrying. We have looked into taking out equity release on our house and 2 different companies have turned it down because of the plans for airport expansion, suspect house prices will suffer. 34 22/03/2022 20:06 PM	
ID: 187694024 something which existed is double standards but noise must be managed. 35 22/03/2022 22:27 PM We live on Green Belt and our farmers are trying to make the area more Nat	
	out
light pollution.!	ture
36 23/03/2022 15:05 PM ID: 187783413 I thought government were cutting down on CO2 emmissions and cutting do flights. People only travel to Luton as no airport near where they live. It is not becau 'demand' Travel around airport almost impossible pre covid so extra traffic would mean never get yo eork on yime unless I left at crack of dawn Also it only takes 1 plane to disturb ones sleep!!	use o
37 23/03/2022 15:41 PM ID: 187788478 The proposal includes some lovely pretty pictures of the airport development the surrounding area is not correct & can be misleading. There are miles of hedgerows shown on the plans & wildlife areas on land not owned by the air These do not currently exist and the potential planting of these has not even discussed with the land owners so again misleading.	rport
answered	37
skipped	43